1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER FOR THE CITY OF BLACK DIAMOND		
9	THE CITE OF BLACK DIAMOND		
10	MDNS SEPA Threshold Determination		
11	Appeal and Villages Preliminary Plat PRE-HEARING ORDER II		
12	Phase 1A (PLN11-0001 and PLN11-0002)		
13			
14	TAMED OT LIGHTON		
15	INTRODUCTION		
16	The schedule and procedural rules outlined below apply to the MDNS SEPA Appeal and to a limited extent, the preliminary plat application. Paragraph Q directly addresses the procedures for the preliminary plat hearing and the rest of the order addresses SEPA appeal procedures. For ease of reference, this prehearing order adds to Prehearing Order I by track change.		
17			
18			
19	r		
20	Evidence relied upon for this pre-hearing order is as follows:		
21	 A. Testimony of pre-hearing conference held October 5, 2011. B. Pre-hearing motions dated 9/27/2012 by Megan Nelson (Applicant) and 10/3/2012 by Cindy Proctor (Appellant). 		
22			
23	C. Responses to Pre-hearing motions filed by Megan Nelson and Cindy Proctor.D. Replies submitted by the City, Cindy Proctor and Yarrow Bay.		
24	E. Pre-hearing emails involving all SEPA appeal parties.		
25	II. Rulings		
26			

B. <u>Email Distribution</u>. Given the tight timeframes involved in this proceeding, emails are the easiest and most efficient form of communication for all parties involved. The email addresses the Examiner has to this point are listed below. All documents required by this Order should be emailed to all the email addresses below. Documents will be considered timely submitted if emailed on the date required. The due date for any documents sent by email is 4 pm of the date due. All parties can be contacted through the email list identified below.

Hearing Examiner's Office

Phil Olbrechts: olbrechtslaw@gmail.com
Emily Terrell: emilyterrell@live.com

City of Black Diamond

Steve Pilcher: SPilcher@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us
Brenda Martinez: BMartinez@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us

Milco Venyandiand.com

Mike Kenyon:mike@kenyondisend.comBob Sterbank:BOB@kenyondisend.com

Applicant

Nancy Rogers: <u>NRogers@Cairncross.com</u>

Megan Nelson:mnelson@yarrowbayholdings.comChris Lund:clund@yarrowbayholdings.com

Appellant

Cindy Proctor: <u>proct@msn.com</u> Gil Bortleson: <u>gbortles@aol.com</u>

Robert Edelman: bobedelman@comcast.net
Erica Morgan: smilemeadow@tx3.net
peter Rimbos: primbos@comcast.net
Jack Sperry: jacksperry@comcast.net
Cynthia Wheeler: wbwheeler@comcast.net

2122

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

C. <u>Hearing Date</u>. The SEPA Appeal and Preliminary Plat Phase 1A hearing will commence on November 1, 2012 pursuant to the public notice issued by City staff. The hearing was scheduled as ordered by the Examiner at the October 5, 2012 pre-hearing conference. The hearing will be scheduled to continue on dates that facilities are available, preferably on consecutive calendar days except for Sundays. The day and time as well as location of the continued hearings will be announced at the close of each hearing day. Hearing dates will initially run consecutively from November 1 through November 3, 2012 (a Saturday). If necessary and if facilities are available the hearings will continue on November 5, 2012, preferably in the evening (assuming the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing is completed) and every subsequent evening thereafter until the

hearings are completed. Staff shall schedule the first three days of hearing as quickly as possible and notify all parties of the SEPA appeal of the hearing times. The public notice for the hearing shall advise that the SEPA portion of the appeal will be held on November 1 and 2, 2012 and that it is anticipated that public testimony on the preliminary plat applications will commence on November 3, 2012.

- D. <u>Rules of Procedure</u>. The Rules of Procedure adopted during the MPD hearings will remain in effect, except for minor changes to Rule 2.05. The first modification to Rule 2.05 is that service of all documents will be deemed complete upon the receipt of the document by the City as opposed to the date the document is deposited in US mail facilities. Pursuant to an agreement by all parties at the October 5, 2012 pre-hearing conference, all documents and correspondence served by fax or email must be submitted by 4 pm to be deemed complete. A copy of the Rules of Procedure should be posted with this prehearing order. Any order issued by the Examiner shall supersede any conflicting Rule of Procedure.
- E. <u>Time and Page Limits</u>. In the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing, the Applicant, Appellant and staff will each have one hour each to make initial presentations and one hour each to make rebuttal/closing presentations. These time limits do not include the presentation of evidence. Briefs shall be limited to fifty pages. As there has only been one appeal filed, the Appellant will be limited to one opening brief.
- F. Exhibit and Witness Lists. Exhibit and witness lists are a fairly standard feature for hearings of this complexity. Without them it would be very difficult to comply with permit processing deadlines, since the Examiner would have to give the parties additional time to respond to witnesses and exhibits that are first introduced at the hearing. The lists will be required for the SEPA appeals, as specified in the schedule below. Witness lists should include a summary of the testimony to be provided by each witness along with a general estimate on the length of the testimony. The time estimates are just for scheduling purposes. Witnesses will not be bound to the estimates. No witnesses other than those identified on witness lists will be allowed to testify at the appeal hearings. Only exhibits identified in the exhibit lists will be admitted into the administrative record except those submitted in response to exhibits identified in the exhibit lists. Expert witness exhibits will be submitted in writing as opposed to audio recordings or video. In order to avoid duplication of exhibits in exhibit lists, the City shall submit a "core exhibit" that identifies the primary documents involved in the SEPA and preliminary plat review that is likely to be used by most parties.
- G. <u>Hearing Format</u>. The November 1, 2012 hearing will commence with opening comments from the Hearing Examiner. The SEPA Appeal portion of the hearing will immediately follow and commence with a presentation from the Appellant followed by the Appellant's presentation of evidence. Following the Appellant's presentation, the Applicant will provide their opening remarks and present evidence. Finally, the City Staff will present their opening remarks and evidence. No public comments will be taken during the SEPA portion of the hearing. Once all opening remarks and initial evidence has been presented for the SEPA Appeal, the Appellant will provide their rebuttal. Cross-examination of experts will occur at the conclusion of each expert's

24

25

26

testimony. Only the Appellant, Applicant and City Staff shall testify and/or participate in the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing.

Subsequent to the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing, the preliminary plat portion of the consolidated hearing will commence.

- H. Cross-Examination. During the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing only all witnesses will be subject to cross-examination, including lay witnesses. This is a departure from prior procedural rulings, where cross-examination of lay witnesses was not allowed.
 - I. Schedule. The following schedule applies:

October 17, 2012.

City's core exhibit list due.

9		
10	October 19, 2012	All SEPA Appeal Opening Pre-Hearing Briefs,
11		Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists and Curriculum Vitae of expert witnesses due.
12	October 23, 2012	All Pre-Hearing Motions and Requests for Clarification due.
13	October 26, 2012	Rebuttal Briefs to SEPA Appeal Opening Briefs
14	October 30, 2012	due. All Responses to Pre-Hearing Motions due.
15	October 31, 2012	Reply briefs to SEPA Appeal opening briefs due.
16		All Exhibits due. Three copies of exhibits may
17		be left at City Hall (by 4 pm) if not practical to email. Only responsive exhibits allowed for
18		SEPA appeals after this date. Parties must provide three copies of all responsive exhibits,
19		unless the exhibits are first presented in an
20		authorized email exchange with the SEPA parties and hearing examiner.
21	November 1, 2012	Hearing commencing with SEPA Appeal.
22	,,	

J. Public Records Request. The Appellants submitted a public records request to the City dated October 9, 2012. The Appellants believe that some of the responsive documents may pertain to their SEPA appeal. The City has responded that it will take up to twelve business days to provide the requested documents. The Appellants may supplement their exhibit list with any documents pertaining to their SEPA appeal that they receive from their records request after

hearing exhibits.

October 16, 2012. The Appellants will have up to three calendar days from the day of receipt of documents to submit a supplemented exhibit list to all other SEPA appeal parties and the hearing examiner. Within that same three days the Appellants shall also submit to all SEPA parties and the examiner any requests to extend portions of the prehearing schedule necessary to accommodate any additional pertinent SEPA appeal information received from the public records request.

K. <u>Miscellaneous</u>. All SEPA appeal parties will be allowed to object to evidence when it is submitted during the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing. Failure to do so will be considered a waiver of objection.

Non-expert witnesses will not be subject to cross-examination. Requests for clarification can be made through the hearing examiner.

"Party" as used in this order refers to all hearing participants.

L. <u>Public Comment On SEPA Appeal</u>. The general public will be allowed to comment on SEPA appeal issues during the preliminary plat portion of the hearing. As noted previously, the general public will not be allowed to participate in the SEPA appeal portion of the hearing.

The laws pertaining to public participation in the SEPA appeal are ambiguous and possibly conflicting. BDMC 18.08.220(c) provides that administrative appeals shall be limited to the city, the appellant(s) and the applicant. However, 18.08.210 is arguably limited in scope to the appeal of Type 1, 2 and 3 decisions. SEPA threshold determinations are not expressly classified as Type 1, 2 or 3 decisions. SEPA threshold decisions are specifically governed by BDMC 19.04.250, which states that it constitutes the exclusive administrative appeal process to appeal a SEPA decision. BDMC 19.04.250 does not address whether the public can participate in a SEPA appeal hearing. Arguably, the consolidation requirements of WAC 197-11-680 require the allowance for public comment on SEPA appeal issues.

If the public were not allowed to directly address the SEPA appeal issues, they could easily do so by expressing their concerns in terms of preliminary plat criteria. The preliminary plat criteria are broad enough to include any issues covered by SEPA. SEPA hearing consolidation requirements would require the examiner to consider all evidence in the record, including preliminary plat testimony, in evaluating the SEPA appeal. Given the ambiguity/conflicts in SEPA participation requirements, rather than engage in the ruse of disguising SEPA testimony in the form preliminary plat testimony, the public will be authorized to address SEPA appeal issues during general public comment on the preliminary plat. In order to maintain the integrity of the schedule and page limits that apply to the SEPA briefing in this order, no written comments will be allowed from the general public on SEPA appeal issues.

M Posting of Exhibits. At the prehearing conference the City argued that it did not have the resources or personnel to post all hearing exhibits and recordings on the City's website. The posting of exhibits is highly encouraged, but not required given the time and expense. The City should be aware, however, that not posting the exhibits may cause delays in the hearing process. All hearing participants will be given whatever time is reasonably necessary to access and review hearing exhibits.

- N. <u>Appellant Representative</u>. Robert Edelman is designated as the representative of the Appellants. A single representative is required by Hearing Examiner Rule of Procedure 3.05 and is also implied from the fact that a single appeal was filed. He shall be responsible for the distribution of emailed documents to anyone participating as an Appellant who does not have an email address identified in Paragraph I of this Order. The Appellant is free to replace Robert Edelman with any other designated representative at any time prior to the hearing provided that notice is first provided to all other parties via the email list below. In its hearing presentation, the Appellant may assign different persons to different issues and witnesses, provided that not more than one person may be involved in the questioning or cross examination of any one witness.
- O. <u>Scope</u>. The scope of the SEPA appeal hearing is limited to whether the proposal creates probable, significant adverse impacts. The impacts at issue are those identified in the written appeal. The scope may be further limited or expanded from rulings made in the prehearing motions.
- P. <u>Transcripts</u>. The parties shall be responsible for acquiring their own transcripts. Transcripts received and/or prepared by the City shall be subject to disclosure as required by the Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW. As with prior hearings, the Examiner requests (but does not require) copies of the transcript from the Applicant in order to expedite the preparation of the decision.
- Q. <u>Preliminary Plat Portion of Hearing</u>. The rules of procedure have not been addressed in any detail for the preliminary plat portion of the hearing because the general public has not been invited to participate in the deliberations leading to this prehearing order. However, in order to provide the public some advance notice of what rules will apply to them, the rules that have applied in prior Villages/Lawson Hills hearings shall apply to the preliminary plat portion of the hearings as follows:
 - 1. The Rules of Procedure shall apply as outlined in Paragraph D of this Order.
 - 2. Verbal comments shall be limited to ten minutes per person excluding the Applicant and City. Persons may cede their entire ten minute allocation to another speaker, provided they are present at hearing to cede their time. Persons who have a disability that prevents them from being present at the hearing need not be present to cede their time. The maximum time that any speaker may testify during the public comment portion of the hearings is one hour total.
 - 3. No time limits shall apply to the opening presentations of the Applicant and City, since they must address what could be potentially hours of testimony provided by the general public. The time for expert witness rebuttal may be limited in advance to provide parity with the amount of time given to opposition expert testimony.
 - 4. Expert witnesses will be subject to cross-examination. Lay witnesses will not be subject to cross-examination. Clarification questions may be asked through the examiner for lay witnesses.

- 5. The hearing format for the preliminary plat portion of the hearing will be, in order of presentation: (1) City opening and evidence; (2) Applicant opening and evidence; (3) general public; (4) City rebuttal and closing; (5) Applicant rebuttal and closing.
- 6. Post-hearing briefing, if any, and page limits on written comments, if any, will be addressed at the hearing.
- 7. Hearing participants should supply three copies of any exhibits presented during the preliminary plat portion of the hearing.
- R. This order will be posted at the City's website by .October 26, 2012.

ORDERED this 15th day of October, 2012.

Phil A. Olbrechts

Hearing Examiner for Black Diamond